

annual report 2006–2007



annual report 2006–2007



© Australian Capital Territory, Canberra 2007

ISSN 1037-4302

Subject to acknowledgment, noting the ACT Ombudsman as the author, extracts may be copied without the publisher's permission.

Produced by the ACT Ombudsman, Canberra

Design: RTM Design

Proofreading and indexing: Angela Grant

Printing: Goanna Print



12 September 2007

Mr Simon Corbell MLA
Attorney-General
Australian Capital Territory Legislative Assembly
London Circuit
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Dear Attorney-General

I am pleased to provide you with the eighteenth Australian Capital Territory (ACT) Ombudsman's annual report for tabling in the Legislative Assembly. The report covers the period 1 July 2006 to 30 June 2007.

This report has been prepared under subsection 6(1) of the *Annual Reports (Government Agencies) Act 2004* and in accordance with the requirements referred to in the Chief Minister's Annual Report Directions in as far as they relate to this office. It has been prepared in conformity with other legislation applicable to the preparation of the annual report by the ACT Ombudsman.

I certify that this annual report is an honest and accurate account and that all material information on the operations of the ACT Ombudsman during 2006–07 has been included and that it complies with the Chief Minister's Annual Report Directions.

Section 13 of the *Annual Reports (Government Agencies) Act 2004* requires that you cause a copy of the report to be laid before the Legislative Assembly within three months of the end of the financial year.

Yours sincerely

Prof. John McMillan
Australian Capital Territory Ombudsman

Contacting the ACT Ombudsman

Enquiries about this report or requests for other information should be directed to:

Director, Public Affairs
Commonwealth and ACT Ombudsman

If you would like to make a complaint, or obtain further information about the Ombudsman, you can contact us at:

Ground Floor, 1 Farrell Place
Canberra ACT 2600
(GPO Box 442, Canberra ACT 2601)

Phone: 1300 362 072 (local call charge)
Fax: 02 6249 7829
Email: ombudsman@ombudsman.gov.au
Website: www.ombudsman.act.gov.au

The ACT Ombudsman Annual Report 2006–2007 is available on our website.

CONTENTS

Transmittal certificate	iii
Contacting the ACT Ombudsman	iv
Section A—Performance and financial management reporting	1
The organisation	1
Overview	2
Highlights	3
Outlook for 2007–08	3
Internal accountability	4
Analysis of agency performance	5
Complaints—ACT Government agencies	7
Complaints—ACT Policing	14
Inspections—ACT Policing	21
Community grants/assistance/sponsorship	21
Territory records	21
Section B—Consultation and scrutiny reporting	22
Community engagement	22
Legislative Assembly Committee inquiries and reports	22
Legislative report	22
Section C—Other reporting	23
Public interest disclosure	23
Freedom of information	23
Human Rights Act 2004	24
Commissioner for the Environment	24
ACT Multicultural Strategy 2006–2009	24
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander reporting	24
Ecologically sustainable development	25
ACT Women’s Plan	25
Appendixes	26
Appendix 1—Statistics	28
Appendix 2—Report omissions and reasons for non-compliance	31
References	32
Abbreviations and acronyms	32
Compliance index	33
List of tables and figures	34
Alphabetical index	35

performance and financial management reporting

The ACT Ombudsman's office has been operating for 18 years. During that time we have assisted in resolving complaints from thousands of ACT residents about virtually all aspects of government administration. We bring 30 years of experience in dealing with complaints about Australian Government departments and agencies, through our Commonwealth Ombudsman role.

The office consistently focuses on areas such as the quality of decision making, internal complaint handling, transparency, record keeping, communication with the public, sensitivity to individual needs, and government accountability generally.

By fostering improved government administration, we can strengthen the community's confidence in the integrity and professionalism of government and support fairer and more accountable government.

THE ORGANISATION

The Ombudsman is an independent statutory officer who considers complaints about the administrative actions of government departments and agencies, and aims to foster good public administration by recommending remedies and changes to agency decisions, policies and procedures. The Ombudsman also makes submissions to government on legislative and policy reform.

The role of the ACT Ombudsman is performed under the *Ombudsman Act 1989* (ACT) (Ombudsman Act). The Ombudsman also has specific responsibilities under the *Freedom of Information Act 1989* (ACT), and is authorised to deal with whistleblower complaints under the *Public Interest Disclosure Act 1994* (ACT).



Prof. John McMillan, ACT Ombudsman

Complaints made about Australian Federal Police (AFP) officers acting in their ACT Policing role are dealt with by this office under our Commonwealth jurisdiction (*Ombudsman Act 1976* (Cth)) and through an agreement with the ACT Government. Up until 30 December 2006 the Ombudsman had specific responsibilities in relation to complaints about the AFP under the *Complaints (Australian Federal Police) Act 1981* (Cth) (Complaints Act). These responsibilities now fall under the *Australian Federal Police Act 1979* (Cth) as well as the Ombudsman Act (Cth). These changes are discussed in detail later in the report.

The Ombudsman has a new role in monitoring compliance with chapter 4 of the *Crimes (Child Sex Offenders) Act 2005* (ACT) (child sex offenders register) by the ACT Chief Police Officer and other people authorised by the Chief Police Officer to have access to the register.

The office investigates complaints in accordance with detailed written procedures, including relevant legislation, a service charter and a work practice manual. It carries out complaint investigations impartially, independently and in private. Complaints may be made by telephone, in person or in writing (by letter, email or facsimile, or by using the online complaint form on our website). Anonymous complaints may be accepted.

The key values of the Ombudsman are independence, impartiality, integrity, accessibility, professionalism and teamwork.

OVERVIEW

Complaint statistics

Complaint handling is the core of the ACT Ombudsman's role. In 2006–07 the office received 941 approaches and complaints from the public about ACT Government agencies (528) and ACT Policing (413). This represents an increase of 9% on the 865 approaches and complaints we received in 2005–06. However, the figures are not directly comparable because of changes in the way ACT Policing complaints are handled.

The number of approaches and complaints received about ACT Government agencies increased by 3% in 2006–07 (528, compared to 512 in 2005–06). Housing ACT and ACT Corrective Services continue to be the agencies about which we receive most complaints.

During the period we finalised 931 approaches and complaints, with 501 being about ACT Government agencies and 430 about ACT Policing.

Detailed analysis of complaints received and finalised is provided in the 'Analysis of agency performance' part of this report in the sections *Complaints—ACT Government agencies* and *Complaints—ACT Policing*.

Submissions

A distinct role of the Ombudsman is to contribute to public discussion on administrative law and public administration, and to foster good public administration that

is accountable, lawful, fair, transparent and responsive.

As part of this role we made submissions to, or commented on, a range of administrative practice matters, Cabinet submissions and legislative proposals during the year. These included:

- providing comments on the Planning and Development Bill 2006 to the ACT Legislative Assembly's Standing Committee on Planning and Environment
- making a submission to the Department of Housing and Community Services on the exposure draft of the Children and Young People Bill 2007
- providing comments on draft amendments to the Ombudsman Act that were included in the *Statute Law Amendment Act 2007*.

Organisational planning and environment

During the year, the office's strategic plan was reviewed to build on achievements over the past four years and to reflect priorities for the period 2007 to 2010. Strategic priorities identified for 2007–08 are to:

- focus on areas of administrative concern as identified through analysis of complaint trends
- continue to build the profile of the office through outreach, relevant publications and communication activities
- build on our work practices and system changes to deliver improved timeliness, efficiency and effectiveness in managing complaints, conducting inspections and generating reports
- improve quality assurance and consistency in complaint handling.

The office's strategic plan informs its internal business plans. There are clear links between the objectives and the key measures of success of the strategic plan and the goals and directions set in the business plan for all teams and for staff members in their individual performance agreements. As a result, performance agreements are closely linked to business plans.

HIGHLIGHTS

This has been a year of both consolidation and change for the Ombudsman's office.

Last year we introduced new work practices and a new complaint management system, and formed the Public Contact Team to be the initial point of contact for all complaints and enquiries to the office. These changes have now been fully implemented, and we are undertaking a review to determine whether they have delivered the improvements sought in our complaint-handling processes, and to identify areas for further improvement.

During 2006–07 we focused greater effort on making formal reports on investigations about ACT Government agencies than in previous years. This year we provided five reports on our investigations, with three of these under s 18 of the Ombudsman Act. Each report contained recommendations for improvement of processes within the relevant agencies. Summaries of the reports are provided later in the section *Complaints—ACT Government agencies*.

In addition, as discussed in the section *Complaints—ACT Policing*, changes have been made to the legislative regime governing the handling of complaints about the AFP. As a result, we have put extensive effort into developing new policies and procedures regarding AFP complaints.

Our expertise in public administration helps us to ensure that best administrative practice is integral to government planning and decision making. The office continued to provide input on significant ACT Government projects during the year, including the ACT Prison Project, and to hold regular meetings with agency contact officers.

OUTLOOK FOR 2007–08

We continue to operate under a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the ACT Government for the provision of Ombudsman services in relation to ACT Government agencies and ACT Policing. At the time of publication we are close to signing a new services agreement with the ACT Government,

which takes into account complaint workloads for the office and developments in ACT functions, such as the new ACT Prison.

In 2006–07 we resumed our program of seminars for ACT Government complaint contact officers. The seminars aim to increase the practical complaint-handling skills of those officers, as well as enhancing their understanding of the role of policy and legislation in decision making. We will continue with this program in 2007–08.

Our capacity to deal with complaints in an effective and timely manner depends to a significant extent on our relationship and interaction with government agencies. We have conducted a number of surveys of people who have complained to us, in order to identify how we can improve our service delivery. However, we have not undertaken a systematic review of our interactions with agencies for many years.

During late 2006–07 we commenced a survey of agencies to ascertain their views about our effectiveness and our interactions, and to identify areas where we could improve processes to lead to speedier and more effective resolution of complaints. We will assess the survey results, and start implementing any required changes, early in 2007–08.

During 2007–08 we will also conduct another survey of people who have complained to us, to identify any areas for improvement in our policies and procedures.

In 2006–07 major reforms to the AFP complaint-handling system were implemented. These reforms remove the requirement for joint handling of all complaints. AFP line management deals with minor matters, providing a faster and more efficient method for resolving these issues. More serious matters will continue to be notified to the Ombudsman's office, with primary responsibility for resolving these matters remaining with the AFP.

Ombudsman staff will continue to be active in ensuring that the AFP's complaint-handling system provides an effective response to individual complainants and provides public assurance about police accountability.

In 2007–08 we expect that most complaints received under the Complaints Act will be finalised. This will enable the office to focus more on systemic and serious issues relating to AFP practices and the conduct of individual AFP members.

Finally, we will examine ways to improve the level of our engagement with the ACT community.

INTERNAL ACCOUNTABILITY

The Commonwealth Ombudsman is also the ACT Ombudsman in accordance with s 28 of the *ACT Self-Government (Consequential Provisions) Act 1988* (Cth). Services are provided to the ACT Government under a memorandum of understanding. The Ombudsman's office remains independent of the ACT Government.

The Governor-General of Australia appointed Prof. John McMillan as Commonwealth Ombudsman in May 2003 for a five-year period. The Ombudsman's remuneration is

determined in accordance with a ruling by the Remuneration Tribunal. Prof. McMillan acted in the position of Integrity Commissioner in the Australian Commission for Law Enforcement Integrity during the period January to June 2007. Dr Vivienne Thom, Deputy Commonwealth Ombudsman, acted in the position of Ombudsman during that period.

In 2006–07 the Ombudsman delegated day-to-day responsibility for operational matters for the ACT Ombudsman to Senior Assistant Ombudsman Ray Matcham, and later Senior Assistant Ombudsman Damien Browne, and responsibility for law enforcement, including ACT Policing, to Senior Assistant Ombudsman Vicki Brown. Both Senior Assistant Ombudsmen are supported by teams of specialist staff (the ACT Ombudsman Team for ACT Ombudsman functions and the Law Enforcement and Inspections Teams for law enforcement functions) in carrying out these responsibilities for the Ombudsman. The Ombudsman and Deputy Ombudsmen maintain an active involvement in the work of these teams.



Executive team (standing from left) Damien Browne, Ron Brent and Mary Durkin; and (seated from left) John McMillan, Vivienne Thom and Vicki Brown.

ANALYSIS OF AGENCY PERFORMANCE

Summary

In 2006–07 the ACT Government paid an unaudited total of \$933,799 (including GST) to the Ombudsman’s office for the provision of Ombudsman services. Moneys were received directly from the ACT Government under the MOU. Payments (including GST) were for the purposes of the ACT Ombudsman function (\$439,583) and the handling of complaints about ACT Policing (\$494,216).

The office’s performance against indicators is shown in Table 1 and provided in more detail under the sections *Complaints—ACT Government agencies* and *Complaints—ACT Policing*. The statistical report in Appendix 1 provides details of approaches and complaints received and finalised, and remedies provided to complainants in 2006–07.

The categories of approaches and complaints to the office range from simple contacts that can be resolved without investigation through to the formal use of the Ombudsman’s powers. Where a complaint involves complex or multiple issues, we conduct a more formal investigation. The decision to investigate a matter more formally can be made for a number of reasons:

- need to gain access to agency records
- nature of the allegations made by a complainant
- time taken by an agency to respond to our requests for information
- likely effect on other people of the issues raised by the complainant.

As well as handling complaints directly, the Ombudsman’s office plays a valuable role in referring people to the most appropriate agencies to deal with their concerns. Where people have an enquiry or complaint outside the Ombudsman’s authority, we try to provide relevant information and contact details to assist them.

In some instances, we refer complainants to other review agencies that can more appropriately deal with the issues they have raised. These issues may include complaints about environment, health and consumer services, as there are special commissioners to deal with these matters. We also receive approaches about matters that we are unable to consider because they are outside our jurisdiction, such as complaints about employment conditions. Approaches and complaints about actions of other police forces are referred to the relevant state ombudsman or other investigative body.

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF ACHIEVEMENTS AGAINST PERFORMANCE INDICATORS, 2006–07

Performance indicators	ACT Government agencies	ACT Policing
Number of approaches and complaints received	528 approaches and complaints (512 in 2005–06)	413 approaches and complaints (353 in 2005–06)
Number of approaches and complaints finalised	501 approaches and complaints (522 in 2005–06)	430 complaints and 502 complaint issues (419 and 486, respectively, in 2005–06)
Time taken to finalise complaints	89% of all complaints finalised within three months (94% in 2005–06)	53% of all complaints finalised within three months (73% in 2005–06)

Note: we no longer count separate issues finalised for ACT Government agencies.

Training and liaison

The Ombudsman's office attaches great importance to establishing a cooperative and respectful relationship with government agencies and community sector organisations. This is important in the effective and efficient conduct of our complaint investigation role.

Ombudsman staff participated in a number of formal and informal meetings and training sessions with ACT Government and other agencies.

Specific activities included:

- conducting a forum for ACT Government agencies' contact officers and complaint handlers
- providing a briefing to Members of the ACT Legislative Assembly, ACT Senators and Members of Parliament, and their staff, on the role and function of the Ombudsman
- providing a briefing to the Executive of the Department of Education and Training
- providing an information session for trainee custodial service officers and probation and parole officers
- continuing to provide input to the Department of Justice and Community Safety on the ACT Prison Project
- participating in the ACT Free Legal Advice Forum and the Complaint Handlers Forum to discuss topical issues in complaint management
- conducting regular meetings with senior staff in ACT Government agencies to provide feedback on complaints received and to ensure complaints are handled smoothly
- commenting on a range of ACT Government and agency submissions and discussion papers raising issues of administrative practice
- meeting monthly with the AFP's Professional Standards team to discuss issues relevant to the operation of the complaint-handling system, and meeting weekly with Professional Standards staff to discuss individual complaints and investigations
- attending a joint AFP-Ombudsman workshop on reforms to the AFP complaint-handling system
- co-sponsoring a three-year study entitled 'Whistling while they work' on whistleblower protection laws across Australia
- co-sponsoring a major project to improve management of difficult complainant behaviour.

We play an active role in collaborating with Ombudsman offices in the Asia-Pacific region. During 2006-07 the ACT Ombudsman Team hosted people from Vanuatu and Papua New Guinea (PNG) on three occasions to provide insight and assistance on complaint handling at the local government level, particularly in relation to complaints in the corrections context. ACT Corrective Services was gracious in providing opportunities for our international visitors to tour the correctional facilities in the ACT.

Our Law Enforcement Team continued to assist other integrity bodies from the Asia-Pacific region through presentations to, and training of, international delegations, particularly in discussing the key aspects of our relationship with the AFP. The team also hosted a range of international guests this year, including senior staff from the PNG Ombudsman Commission.

Service charter standards

We are committed to providing the best service possible. The ACT Ombudsman Service Charter is available on our website at www.ombudsman.act.gov.au. The charter outlines the service that can be expected from the office, ways to provide feedback and steps that can be taken if standards are not met. We will complete a review of our service charter standards in 2007-08.

Where a complainant disagrees with our conclusions and decision on a complaint, they may ask for the matter to be reviewed. A Deputy Ombudsman will consider the information provided and decide whether or not we will review our decision. The Deputy Ombudsman chairs the office's internal review

panel and allocates the request for review to a designated review officer who has not had prior involvement in the complaint. The review officer will consider whether the processes our staff followed were fair and adequate, and whether the conclusions reached were reasonable and properly explained to the complainant.

During the reporting period, we received and finalised 13 requests for reviews of our complaint handling. Seven review requests involved ACT Government agencies. The original decision was affirmed in five complaints, and in two cases, we are investigating further. Six review requests related to ACT Policing. The original decision was affirmed in five complaints. The outcome for the sixth complaint did not change, but we apologised to the complainant for not keeping them advised sufficiently of progress with their complaint, and for not providing as full an explanation of our conclusions as we should have.

COMPLAINTS—ACT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES


Complaints received

In 2006–07 we received 528 approaches and complaints about ACT Government agencies, a 3% increase over the 512 approaches and complaints received in 2005–06 (see Table 1). Figure 1 provides a comparison of approaches and complaints received about ACT Government agencies since 2002–03.

Housing ACT and ACT Corrective Services continue to be the two agencies about which we receive most approaches and complaints, with 99 and 94 received respectively (19% and 18% of the total), compared to 105 and 97 each in 2005–06.

We received fewer approaches and complaints about ACTEW Corporation (from 27 in 2005–06 to 8 in 2006–07), while complaints about the Department of Education and Training increased from 11 in 2005–06 to 31 in 2006–07. Detailed statistical information is provided in Appendix 1.

FIGURE 1 APPROACHES AND COMPLAINTS RECEIVED ABOUT ACT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, 2002–03 TO 2006–07*



* The numbers of approaches and complaints received about ACT Government agencies have been combined for the years 2003–04 to 2006–07. For 2002–03 the numbers relate only to complaints received.

Complaints finalised

During 2006–07 the Ombudsman’s office finalised 501 approaches and complaints about ACT Government agencies, compared to 522 approaches and complaints in the previous year.

This year we investigated 29% of these approaches and complaints, down 8% from the number of matters investigated last year. This investigation rate is now more in line with the rate in our Commonwealth Ombudsman jurisdiction.

In most cases we decided not to investigate because the complainant had not tried to resolve their problem first with the relevant agency. This practice of referring complainants back to the agency concerned in the first instance provides the agency with the opportunity to resolve any issues before an external body, such as the Ombudsman, becomes involved.

The remedies for complaints we investigated included agency explanation (explaining to the complainant why the agency acted the way it did); action to expedite the matter; an agency apology; agency reconsideration of an earlier decision; and changes in agency administrative policy and procedure.

Time taken to finalise complaints


Of the 501 approaches and complaints about ACT Government agencies that were dealt with during 2006–07, 59% were finalised within one week and 89% within three months (see Figure 2). This compares with 63% finalised within one week and 94% within three months, in 2005–06.

Of the remaining complaints, 7% were completed in three to six months and 4% took over six months to complete. Complaints taking more than six months to complete are more complex and usually require extensive involvement of senior staff.



Paul Coleman, Director ACT Ombudsman Team, speaking at the ACT complaint contact officers seminar.

FIGURE 2 TIME TAKEN TO FINALISE APPROACHES AND COMPLAINTS ABOUT ACT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, 2006–07



Overview of complaints

The creation of the Public Contact Team as the first point of contact for people approaching the Ombudsman's office has enabled the ACT Ombudsman Team to focus more effort on identifying and investigating complaints which appear to raise systemic issues. As a consequence, during the year we completed a number of investigations that resulted in formal reports to agencies or recommendations for improved policies and procedures.

Department of Treasury

The issue of delay in decision making is a common theme across a number of ACT agencies. One example the office dealt with concerned the Department of Treasury and resulted in the Ombudsman issuing a formal report. The case study *Delay in deciding an objection decision* on page 10 summarises the issues.

In the course of investigating Mr A's complaint, it became apparent that there may have been a significant number of taxation objections that were taking a long time for Treasury to determine. Accordingly, the Ombudsman commenced an own motion investigation into the administrative handling of a range of objection decisions by Treasury. The investigation covers a number of issues, including records management and case management. Treasury has cooperated with the own motion investigation, and has also devoted considerable additional resources to dealing with objections. We will report on the outcome of this investigation in our 2007–08 annual report.

Housing ACT

The main themes of complaints about Housing ACT were similar to previous years: maintenance, allocation of housing, and the behaviour of other tenants. Complaints about

waiting lists and transfers dropped off in the latter part of the year. This may have been the result of Housing ACT implementing a new system for categorising applications and a new internal review system for hearing appeals about priority.

A prominent theme this year was complaints from Housing ACT tenants about the harassment, violence and intimidation they claimed to have suffered at the hands of other tenants. In one case, the occupants of a Housing ACT townhouse persistently sought goods and money from other residents by use of practices that verged on extortion. In another instance, a tenant with apparent mental health issues harassed another tenant to the point that the latter feared leaving her residence. In other cases, alleged assaults, damage to property and even assaults on pets are alleged to have occurred.

In tenant disputes, Housing ACT encourages mediation and seeks to assist tenants in resolving their issues. Disputes sometimes arise in cases which are inherently complex, and in which a number of service providers are involved. In the more unusual cases, Housing ACT will serve a notice on a tenant in relation

to their obligation to respect the rights of other tenants. In extreme cases, a notice to vacate may be the final step.

Housing ACT has recognised the need to monitor and manage these kinds of issues and has commissioned research into how they can be better managed. The Ombudsman will keep issues relating to tenant disputes under review during 2007–08.

We also completed a formal report into a complaint about Housing ACT, as described in the case study *Problems with rental rebate calculation* (page 11). This matter illustrates how administrative decisions can cause significant problems for the people affected by them, and how dealing with one complaint can result in broader systemic changes. The review of procedures and policy suggested by the Ombudsman and agreed by Housing ACT has the potential to help many more people in addition to the complainant.

As this case raised broader systemic issues about rental rebate calculations, the Ombudsman is considering undertaking an own motion investigation in 2007–08 to follow up on our earlier recommendations.

delay in deciding an objection decision

CASE STUDY

Mr A complained to the Ombudsman that he had been waiting for over two years for a decision by Treasury on his objection about a decision to impose a 100% penalty on him for an improper claim of the First Home Owner Grant.

Ombudsman staff reviewed Mr A's file at Treasury and met on several occasions with relevant Treasury staff. Just over two months after Mr A complained to us, he received a decision on his objection from Treasury. The Ombudsman nonetheless concluded that the delay of some two and a half years was unreasonable.

The investigation of Mr A's complaint also identified problems about the non-responsiveness of Treasury to correspondence from objectors. Another issue compounding the problem was that there was no legislative requirement for Treasury to decide an objection within a specific period.

The Ombudsman recommended that Treasury change its communications policy, provide six-monthly interim advice to objectors, and respond promptly to their correspondence. The Ombudsman also recommended that Treasury apologise to Mr A for its poor communication with him, including its failure to answer some of his letters.

Treasury accepted the recommendations of the report. The full report on Mr A's case is on our website at www.ombudsman.act.gov.au (ACT Report No 02/2006).

Care and protection matters

The Ombudsman provided two formal reports to the Department of Disability, Housing and Community Services (DHCS) arising from complaints about the Office for Children, Youth and Family Support (OCYFS). These matters again illustrate the issue of delay; this time in following up on assessments made by relevant officers of OCYFS.

It would not be appropriate to provide any substantial details of those matters in this report, both to protect the privacy of the people involved and to comply with the secrecy provisions of the *Children and Young People Act 1999*.

In the first matter, OCYFS officers had identified the need to conduct police checks on some people involved in the case, and considered that issues that had come to light in their investigation should be referred to the police. However, action was delayed for

four months. The Ombudsman found the delay was unreasonable and recommended that procedures be reviewed to ensure as far as possible that this delay did not happen again. DHCS now has policy and procedures in place which provide guidance and direction on the completion of criminal history checks and referrals to the AFP, to ensure referral oversights do not recur.

In the second case, the Ombudsman provided a report on a matter that the Chief Executive of DHCS had requested the Ombudsman's office investigate. In this matter, OCYFS officers had identified the need to further investigate circumstances reported to them concerning a child, but had failed to do so for a number of months. A review of the reforms of procedures in OCYFS since the incident took place satisfied the Ombudsman that no further action was required by OCYFS to minimise the risk of similar lapses in future.

problems with rental rebate calculation

CASE STUDY

Ms B, a public housing tenant, complained to the ACT Ombudsman that Housing ACT was seeking to recover a large debt from her for rental rebates she had received.

Some time before, Ms B had received a lump sum settlement for a motor vehicle accident. Housing ACT attributed 50% of the settlement as income for Ms B over the life of the settlement. As a consequence, Housing ACT recalculated Ms B's rental rebate on the basis of the attributed income and raised a debt against her.

We investigated the matter, reviewing the relevant Housing ACT files and considering the policy and legislation concerning Ms B's circumstances. We identified several inconsistent decisions by ACT Housing on the matter, as well as inconsistent advice given to Ms B.

The Ombudsman recommended waiver of the rental rebate debt, an apology to Ms B, and a review of the systemic administrative issues involved. While noting that the policy of recovering rental rebate payments in cases like Ms B's is a matter for Housing ACT, the Ombudsman raised the issue as to whether the relevant ACT legislation adequately and properly gives effect to that policy.

Housing ACT accepted all of our recommendations, and responded positively to the investigation and our report. The Ombudsman has commended the actions taken by Housing ACT.

Housing ACT agreed that Ms B's debt should be waived. It has also changed its administrative practices to give suitably qualified staff responsibility for complex rental rebate cases, and is developing a new training module in advanced rental rebate calculations. Housing ACT also agreed to clarify aspects of its relevant policy concerning cases like that of Ms B.

ACT Corrective Services

Complaints received about ACT Corrective Services generally related to property, maintenance of amenities and allegations of harassment.

We also received a significant number of complaints in October and November 2006 about detainees being locked down in their cells more often than usual. It seemed that staff shortages meant that there were insufficient staff to adequately supervise detainees being out of their cells. The Ombudsman raised the issue with the Chief Executive of the Department of Justice and Community Safety, who responded by providing detailed reasons for the problem, including the difficulty in attracting and recruiting suitable custodial staff. The problem eased over the following months and complaint numbers receded.

We continued discussions with ACT Corrective Services about improving procedures in the ACT's remand centres. The two case studies *Revocation of visitors' visiting privileges* and *Improving buy-up procedures* (page 13) illustrate two complaints where ACT Corrective Services agreed to suggestions for improved policies and procedures from the Ombudsman.

With the new ACT Prison set to open in late 2008, the Ombudsman will be focusing on corrections complaints over the next year, to identify any areas of concern that we might be able to address before the prison opens. We are confident that our work on such complaints, and our good working relationship with ACT Corrective Services, should serve this process well.

ACT Planning and Land Authority

The Ombudsman also provided a formal report to the ACT Planning and Land Authority (ACTPLA) this year, which is summarised in the case study *Error in lease renewal* (page 13). This case illustrates that sometimes a complaint is not substantiated, but the investigation process can highlight the effect of a problem, since remedied, that gave rise to the complaint.

In the last two annual reports we referred to a matter in which a lack of coordination between ACTPLA and ActewAGL led to a complainant incurring substantial costs. The matter was resolved this year by ACTPLA reaching a financial settlement with the complainant. ACTPLA also provided this office with an explanation of changed procedures intended to ensure that development approval will not be given until relevant clearances from other agencies (such as ActewAGL) have been obtained.

revocation of visitors' visiting privileges

CASE STUDY

Mr C, a detainee at the Belconnen Remand Centre (BRC), complained that the visiting privileges of his partner and mother had been revoked for six months. The BRC had taken the action because it considered the people were involved in the introduction of contraband.

This had the effect of depriving Mr C of visits by his partner, infant child and mother over the six-month period. Our investigation revealed that there was no written ACT Corrective Services policy covering such decisions. The length of the revocation had been set on the basis of unwritten policy about the periods appropriate in different circumstances.

ACT Corrective Services has now addressed this issue in its policy on detainee visits. The policy provides advice on the length of revocation which is appropriate and on the other considerations relevant to setting the period. The new policy also includes information on a visitor's right to review of a revocation decision.

ACT Corrective Services are commended for the policy change, which represents a substantial improvement on the previous position of determining length of revocation of visitors' visiting privileges on the basis of an unwritten policy.

Oral advice

We also dealt with a range of complaints about the provision of oral advice. In one matter concerning ACTPLA, the caller maintained that he had been advised that a structure on his property did not require approval, but he was required later to seek approval. In a complaint about a parking matter, the caller claimed he had been told that he would not have to pay the administrative costs for late payment. However, according to the caller, he received a bill for the administrative costs and the relevant office had no record of the earlier advice.

In the absence of records, these kinds of matters are difficult or impossible to resolve. They highlight the importance of agencies maintaining accurate records for accountability. For example, ACTPLA has recently implemented better business practice guidelines and an educational campaign for staff to address such issues. They also illustrate the need for members of the public to keep careful records and to get advice on important issues in writing.

improving buy-up procedures

CASE STUDY

The Ombudsman received a complaint from Ms D, a detainee at Symonston Temporary Remand Centre, that she and a number of other women had not been given access to the buy-up—an opportunity for detainees to spend their funds on groceries—following a long weekend. Buy-ups usually occur on Tuesdays and Fridays.

On investigation, it became apparent that for a buy-up to occur after a long weekend, special arrangements need to be made because administrative staff are not present on the public holiday Monday. On some previous occasions, detainees had been offered a double buy-up on the Friday, but that had not occurred this time. Instead, a cigarettes-only buy-up was offered to detainees for the Tuesday, and a notice had been given about this. We found conflicting information concerning what happened to the notice, as it was apparent that not all detainees understood the proposed change in arrangements, and this had led to Ms D's complaint.

The Ombudsman suggested some changes that were readily accepted by ACT Corrective Services to improve communication about buy-ups. One suggestion was to make sure that when detainees enter the Remand Centre they are given details about changed buy-up arrangements on long weekends. Another suggestion was that each detainee should receive their own notice concerning any changes to those arrangements ahead of each long weekend.

error in lease renewal

CASE STUDY

Mr and Mrs E, rural lessees, complained that ACTPLA had wrongfully made an application to the Registrar-General to vary their lease. The variation was to add in clauses that allowed neighbouring lessees a right of access to reach their own blocks.

On investigation, it became apparent that an error had been made in renewing the lease some years previously. The previous lease had contained clauses allowing the neighbours access across the lease, but these had been left out in the renewal process. The action by ACTPLA to vary the lease was to restore this aspect of the lease to the situation prior to the renewal.

The Ombudsman found that the original error was serious and it should have been addressed much sooner. However, there was no evidence that the error was anything other than a one-off mistake. ACTPLA had already acknowledged and apologised for the error. There was no need for further action by ACTPLA in relation to the complaint.

COMPLAINTS—ACT POLICING

In the ACT, the AFP undertakes policing under an agreement between the Commonwealth and ACT Governments. The AFP provides policing services to the ACT in areas such as traffic law, undertaking crime prevention, maintaining law and order, investigating criminal activities, and responding to critical incidents.

As the AFP is an Australian Government agency, complaints made about AFP officers acting in their ACT Policing role are dealt with by this office under our Commonwealth jurisdiction and through an agreement with the ACT Government.

In the past year there have been considerable changes to the legislative regime governing the handling of complaints made about the conduct or practices of the AFP.

On 30 December 2006 the *Complaints (Australian Federal Police) Act 1981* (Complaints Act) was repealed and replaced with Part V of the *Australian Federal Police Act 1979* and amendments to the *Ombudsman Act 1976* (Cth). The intention of the reform was to create a more flexible and responsive complaint-handling process that better meets the needs of all stakeholders.

The reforms include the removal of the joint complaint-handling system, which was the central feature of the Complaints Act, and its replacement with a model where the AFP has primary responsibility for dealing with all complaints and the Ombudsman has an enhanced oversight and inspections role. Minor matters are allocated to local area management to resolve and serious matters are dealt with by the AFP's Professional Standards area.

The Ombudsman is no longer involved in the resolution of all complaints, and is not advised of all complaints received by the AFP. However, the Ombudsman continues to be notified of all serious matters, and may choose to investigate the AFP's handling of such cases under the Ombudsman Act (Cth). The categorisation of complaints into minor or serious matters was agreed on by the AFP Commissioner and the Ombudsman and set out in a legislative instrument.

The Ombudsman is also designated as the Law Enforcement Ombudsman and has a new responsibility to review the administration of the AFP's handling of complaints, through inspection of AFP records. An aspect of this responsibility is to comment on the adequacy and comprehensiveness of the AFP's dealing with conduct and practices issues as well as its handling of inquiries ordered by the Minister. The results of these reviews must be provided to the Commonwealth Parliament annually.

It is anticipated that the flexibility of the new system will allow the Ombudsman's office to give closer scrutiny to the handling of serious complaints and focus more on investigating systemic issues.


The change in the complaint-handling system means that the statistics in this report are not comparable with those of previous years. The removal of joint complaint handling and mandatory referral of complaints by the AFP has resulted in a decrease in the number of complaints being received by this office since January 2007. However, this drop in complaint work has been largely offset by the new inspections role and our increased focus on investigating systemic issues.

Complaints received

In 2006–07 we received 413 complaints about ACT Policing, compared to 353 in 2005–06. This is a 17% increase (Figure 3). We received 314 complaints under the Complaints Act. This amounts to a particularly sharp increase in complaints made under the Complaints Act, given that the figure represents complaints made to the AFP up to 29 December 2006 and subsequently referred to the Ombudsman, or made directly to the Ombudsman up to 29 December 2006. A further 99 complaints were received under the Ombudsman Act (Cth) after the repeal of the Complaints Act on 30 December 2006.

Complaints finalised

We finalised 430 complaints and 502 complaint issues in 2006–07 under the two legislative schemes. Complaints can contain a number of issues, each requiring separate investigation and possibly resulting in different outcomes.

FIGURE 3 COMPLAINTS RECEIVED ABOUT ACT POLICING, 2002–03 TO 2006–07

* Note: The statistics for 2006–07 are not directly comparable to previous years, as explained on page 14.

Complaints made under the Complaints Act

We finalised 342 complaints containing 412 complaint issues under the Complaints Act.

Of the 412 complaint issues finalised, a large number of the issues (189 or 46%) related to minor discourtesy or service delivery failures and were referred to the AFP's workplace resolution process. This process allows members of the public to provide feedback about their interaction with police; provides AFP members with the opportunity to acknowledge and learn from minor mistakes; and facilitates a more timely and flexible response to complaint issues than does formal investigation.

Of the issues referred for AFP workplace resolution, 135 issues (71%) were successfully conciliated with the complainant. The AFP forwarded reports to the Ombudsman for consideration in relation to the remaining 54 issues (29%) where the complainant was not satisfied with the AFP's attempts to conciliate the matter.

We decided not to investigate, or further investigate, 204 issues after receiving the complaint directly or after considering the report of the AFP's initial evaluation or unsuccessful workplace resolution of the complaint. In some cases we made further enquiries of the AFP, but later decided that further investigation of the matter was not warranted. The lower number of complaints subjected to workplace resolution resulted from an increase in the number of complaints that we considered did not warrant further action in light of the AFP's initial evaluation.

Of the 29 issues investigated by the AFP and reviewed by the Ombudsman's office (30 in 2005–06), six were substantiated, three were incapable of determination, and 20 were unsubstantiated.

In reviewing AFP investigation reports, we found most entailed a comprehensive investigation and analysis, resulting in reasonable and appropriate recommendations. On some occasions we returned a report

TABLE 2 ACT POLICING ISSUES RAISED IN COMPLAINTS TO THE OMBUDSMAN MANAGED AND RESOLVED BY CONCILIATION, 2002–03 TO 2006–07

Year	Issues managed through workplace resolution process (% of total)	Proportion of issues successfully conciliated
2006–07	189 issues (46%)	135 issues (71%)
2005–06	305 issues (63%)	243 issues (80%)
2004–05	460 issues (72%)	246 issues (54%)
2003–04	455 issues (71%)	272 issues (60%)
2002–03	537 issues (67%)	269 issues (50%)

to the AFP for further action—such as a quality assurance review of the report, further clarification of a particular issue, or consideration of a broader issue. We also worked with the AFP to ensure that, where appropriate, the AFP considered broader organisational issues, and responded directly to the complainant.

Complaints made under the Ombudsman Act (Cth)

We finalised 88 complaints containing 90 complaint issues under the Ombudsman Act. Under the new legislative arrangements, we have adopted the approach that we take with other ACT Government agencies—that a complainant should contact the relevant agency about a complaint before asking the Ombudsman to investigate. We therefore referred the complainant to AFP Professional Standards in the first instance in relation to 57 issues. We referred the complainant to another body in relation to 16 issues, and decided that investigation of 11 issues was not warranted in the circumstances of the complaint. Two issues were not pursued as one complainant withdrew their complaint and the other failed to provide information to enable investigation of the complaint. Four issues were outside our jurisdiction.


Time taken to finalise complaints

Overall, 53% of ACT Policing complaints were finalised within three months of receipt (compared to 73% in 2005–06) and 81% were finalised within six months (compared to 90% in 2005–06). The remaining 19% of complaints (80) took more than six months to finalise (compared to 10% in 2005–06.)

Complaints made under the Complaints Act

We finalised complaints made under the Complaints Act in an average time of 147 days. As the Ombudsman is required to keep complainants notified of the progress of their complaints under this Act, and it is important to try to resolve complaints in a timely manner, we were concerned about these delays and analysed our records to identify where delays were occurring. Our analysis revealed that we received AFP reports an average of 106 days after we received the complaint and that our average consideration time was 41 days.

We noted some delays in the AFP notifying the Ombudsman after they received a complaint. We also noted delays between when we notified the AFP of a complaint and the date the AFP advised us how they intended to deal with the complaint. Some of these delays appear to have resulted from a backlog in the AFP evaluation of new complaints.

FIGURE 4 TIME TAKEN TO FINALISE COMPLAINTS ABOUT ACT POLICING, 2006–07

We will work with the AFP to resolve the remaining 99 complaints made under the Complaints Act and reduce our own consideration times.

Complaints made under the Ombudsman Act

We finalised complaints under the Ombudsman Act in an average of 31 days. This period would have been shorter if it had been possible to settle notification arrangements with the AFP at an earlier stage.

Challenges

The commencement of the *Law Enforcement (AFP Professional Standards and Related Measures) Act 2006* on 30 December 2006 has been a major challenge this year. Implementation of the new legislation has involved extensive consultation with the AFP, as both agencies work to develop the new roles assigned by the legislation. In particular, the creation of our new role in inspecting AFP complaint handling, which differs considerably

from our previous function, has taken some effort to develop. The first inspections have taken place and the results will be published separately in a report to the Commonwealth Parliament. While many of the changes brought about by the Act are now bedded down, more time will be needed to make the new complaint handling and review system fully effective.

Parallel with the commencement of the new system is the challenge of finalising the large number of outstanding cases that are subject to the Complaints Act. We have been working with the AFP to reduce the delays in completing investigations into these matters, but it is expected that many of these matters will not be finalised until the end of the 2007–08 reporting year.

We are also concerned at the increasing amount of time it appears to be taking for many complaints to be conciliated or investigated by the AFP. On average, it is taking almost a month longer for complaints to be finalised this year than in the previous

year. We have particular concerns over a small number of cases that remain open after two years. Delays of this kind make a successful outcome for the complainant and the agency more difficult to achieve. We also have concerns about cases which have not been finalised, but where the AFP seems to have taken very little action over a period of some months.

Improving understanding of ACT Policing

In order to improve the Law Enforcement Team's (LET) understanding of the roles and challenges facing ACT Policing, LET members participated in two ride-along 'beat policing' tours towards the end of 2006.

The ride-along tours enabled LET members to observe first hand the challenges faced by the ACT's beat police, their roles, and the skills they must deploy when dealing with members of the public in the Canberra City area towards the end of the week and on the weekend.

The tours included:

- a visit to the ACT City Police Station and the City Watchhouse and observation of the procedures at the Watchhouse
- first hand observations of the ACT City Beat Squad dealing with members of the public (including numerous intoxicated people)
- a visit to the ACT Police Communications Centre
- discussion of the ACT Police Priority Call Out system and procedures
- demonstration of the operation of hand-held radar equipment used by ACT Police Traffic Operations.

LET members reported that the ride-along tours were an invaluable training experience and gave them a much better understanding of the AFP's community policing role. We hope that other staff will be able to participate in similar tours in future.

Critical incidents

The AFP notifies the Ombudsman of all critical incidents involving the actions of

AFP officers. Critical incidents are incidents in which a fatality or significant injury has occurred, or where the AFP has been required to respond to an incident on a large scale, as might occur during a public demonstration. During 2006-07 three incidents involving ACT Policing matters were reported to the Ombudsman. Generally it is not our policy to become actively involved in the investigation of critical incidents unless the AFP requests our involvement.

On 14 September 2006 the AFP intercepted a vehicle on the roadway in the Canberra suburb of Ngunnawal. The passenger of the vehicle was believed to be armed with a shotgun and was wanted for questioning for serious crimes involving a firearm. During the incident police safely extracted the driver of the vehicle, but were unable to resolve the standoff with the passenger. The young male passenger discharged his firearm, seriously injuring himself. He died later in hospital. The Ombudsman has requested a copy of the AFP's report at the conclusion of the investigation by AFP Professional Standards.

On 1 November 2006 the AFP advised the Ombudsman of an incident where a woman was sprayed with Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray whilst in custody in the City Watchhouse. The woman required hospitalisation following exposure to the OC spray. The matter is being investigated by AFP Professional Standards. This is discussed further in the case study *Use of force* (page 19).

On 14 November 2006 we were advised of a fatal accident involving a young woman who was being pursued by police. The young woman drove through a red light, colliding with another vehicle, in which the elderly woman driver died. The Ombudsman requested a copy of the AFP's final report on the investigation due to the seriousness of the incident and the community concern about police pursuits. The Ombudsman is aware that the Department of Justice and Community Safety has commissioned an independent review of the policy guidelines on police pursuits, being conducted by a former Commonwealth Ombudsman, Mr Alan Cameron.

Use of force

In our last annual report we noted that we were monitoring the AFP's handling of several complaints from people with physical and mental disabilities about the use of excessive force. Complaints about the use of force have been a continuing concern this year.

During 2006–07 we received a total of 36 complaint issues about the use of force or threatened use of force by ACT Policing. One such complaint resulted in criminal charges being laid against an AFP member and sparked a wider review of video footage of Watchhouse operations by the AFP Professional Standards area. This review resulted in other complaints being raised.

Review of Watchhouse operations

In January 2007 the ACT Chief Police Officer, Assistant Commissioner Audrey Fagan, and the AFP Chief Operating Officer, Mr Trevor Van Dam, approached the Ombudsman about conducting a joint review into ACT Policing's Watchhouse operations. This review was announced on 12 February 2007 and the report was released on 26 June 2007.

The AFP proposed the review following a number of incidents at the Watchhouse involving use of force, and concerns expressed by the Ombudsman in annual reports over recent years. The review was also an opportunity to assess the July 2006 upgrade of the closed circuit television camera system installed at the City Watchhouse.

The review had broad terms of reference and was able to assess Watchhouse operations against best practice in Australia and overseas. The review team explored every aspect of Watchhouse operations and recommended many changes in Watchhouse procedures, as well as a more far-reaching consideration of the way in which the Watchhouse is operated and staffed.

Among the matters covered by the report were:

- the policies and procedures applicable to Watchhouse operations
- physical conditions for staff and detainees
- surveillance systems
- training of AFP members working in the Watchhouse
- management and control of detainees, including the use of force
- care of persons with special needs
- oversight, supervision and management of Watchhouse staff
- complaint management.

The AFP accepted all the recommendations, with one being a matter for consideration by the ACT Government. The steering committee overseeing the review will meet by December 2007 to report on progress in implementing the review recommendations. The report is available on our website at www.ombudsman.gov.au.

use of force

CASE STUDY

Ms F was sprayed with Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray when she was arrested, and immediately decontaminated.

She was then taken to the City Watchhouse and sprayed with OC spray again. On this occasion she was not decontaminated until approximately two hours later after a change in shift, when the replacement Sergeant contacted an ambulance crew to assist in Ms F's decontamination.

AFP Professional Standards acted swiftly to report this matter to the Ombudsman as a critical incident, and accepted a complaint from Ms F under the Complaints Act.

While AFP investigation of this complaint is suspended pending the outcome of criminal proceedings taken against an AFP member, we will continue to monitor the matter to ensure that all of the issues raised by the complaint are appropriately resolved.



Detention of minors

In last year's report we described our concerns about the management of young people in custody and the issue of minors being detained without notification to their parents. We noted that we were considering whether an own motion investigation into the issue was warranted. After a decrease in the number of complaints received and careful consideration, we decided against conducting an own motion investigation on this subject.

Instead we pursued our concerns through resolution of the individual cases as demonstrated by the case study *Advising parent* (page 21).

Exercise of responsibilities under the Intoxicated People Act

In August 2006 the Ombudsman informed the Commissioner of the AFP, that he had decided to undertake an own motion investigation

to review the exercise of responsibilities by ACT Policing under the *Intoxicated People (Care and Protection) Act 1994* (Intoxicated People Act). This follows a 2001 Ombudsman investigation into the matter. The Ombudsman also informed the Chief Executive of ACT Health of his intention to conduct an investigation under the Ombudsman Act for the purpose of considering issues surrounding ACT Policing use of the sobering-up shelter at Ainslie Village, which is operated by Centacare and funded by ACT Health.

We expect to complete the investigation in early 2007–08.

Review of management of property and exhibits

In last year's annual report the Ombudsman indicated that he was considering conducting an own motion investigation into the adequacy of the AFP's current guidelines on handling property and exhibits, and

how effectively changes resulting from the recommendations of a 1999 Ombudsman own motion investigation had been implemented.

The proposal is still under consideration, subject to other priorities for the coming year.

INSPECTIONS—ACT POLICING

A child sex offenders register was established in the ACT as a requirement of the *Crimes (Child Sex Offenders) Act 2005* (ACT).

The register commenced operation on 29 December 2005. One of the functions of the ACT Ombudsman is to monitor compliance with chapter 4 of the *Crimes (Child Sex Offenders) Act* by the ACT Chief Police Officer and other people authorised by the Chief Police Officer to have access to the child sex offenders register. We conducted the first inspection of the register in June 2007 and will finalise our report early in 2007–08.

COMMUNITY GRANTS/ASSISTANCE/SPONSORSHIP

The ACT Ombudsman's office did not provide any community grants, assistance or sponsorship during the reporting period.

TERRITORY RECORDS

In accordance with the *Territory Records Act 2002* (ACT), the ACT Ombudsman's office ensures that:

- all ACT Ombudsman records are stored appropriately and securely
- relevant position profiles and duty statements reflect the records-management skills required by the Ombudsman's office
- training is available for records management and general staff in record-keeping skills and responsibilities
- a controlled language system for the Ombudsman's office has been developed and is used by staff
- the Ombudsman's approved Records Disposal Schedule is implemented and monitored appropriately.

Schedule 3 of the *Territory Records Act* will come into operation on 1 July 2008 and will provide for public access to ACT records over 20 years old. Records held by the ACT Ombudsman's office will be examined to identify any that are over 20 years old and to ensure that access will be available, consistent with the legislation.

advising parent

CASE STUDY

In last year's report we included the case study of a 17-year-old boy who was detained in error during a 'drug sting' in the city. Mr G complained about the manner in which AFP members treated him. He alleged that the arresting officers did not ask him if he wanted a parent or guardian present and that he was questioned without a parent or guardian present. We outlined our concerns about the initial AFP investigation in that report.

Following our comments, the AFP undertook further investigation about the alleged non-compliance with the *Children and Young People Act 1999* (Young People Act) and the relevant ACT Policing Guidelines. As a result of this further investigation, the AFP addressed a number of systemic issues.

A training gap relating to the Young People Act was identified, and the AFP Learning and Development unit has been asked to address this in future training. An ACT Policing instruction reminding all police of their responsibility for notification when dealing with children and young people was promulgated in August 2006. Watchhouse sergeants are also now responsible for double-checking that these notifications to parents and guardians have been carried out.

We have reviewed these outcomes and believe that they adequately address the concerns raised by this office.

consultation and scrutiny reporting

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The Ombudsman's office maintains contact with the community in a variety of formal and informal ways. This aspect of our work is important in raising public awareness of the right to complain to the Ombudsman and building confidence in the role of the office in managing and investigating complaints about ACT Government departments and agencies and ACT Policing.

Significant activities included:

- an information stall at Contact Canberra 2007 (part of the National Multicultural Festival)
- Neighbourhood Dispute Management Network—we provided an information session on the role of the Ombudsman to the government and community groups who are part of the Neighbourhood Dispute Management Network run by the Conflict Resolution Service
- lecturing on the role of the Ombudsman in police complaints to the Criminal Practices course of the Legal Workshop at the Australian National University.

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE INQUIRIES AND REPORTS

No completed inquiries of Legislative Assembly Committees related to the operations of the ACT Ombudsman office.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT

The role of the ACT Ombudsman is performed under the *Ombudsman Act 1989* (ACT). The Ombudsman also has specific responsibilities under the *Freedom of Information Act 1989* (ACT) and is authorised to deal with whistleblower complaints under the *Public Interest Disclosure Act 1994* (ACT).

In 2004–05 we reported that a review of the *Ombudsman Act 1976* (Cth) was being undertaken, with a view to putting proposals to government for the enactment of a new Act. We noted that the ACT Government would be consulted in the course of this review.

The Ombudsman's review of the Ombudsman Act (Cth) was submitted to the Prime Minister early in 2006. The review has made a number of suggestions for amendment to the Act to make complaint–investigation processes simpler and more efficient. The Australian Government is considering its responses. When those responses are known, the Ombudsman will liaise with the ACT Government about any changes that may be needed for the Ombudsman Act (ACT) to ensure consistency, and any other amendments that may be considered desirable.

As reported in detail in the section *Complaints – ACT Policing*, the legislative regime covering the handling of complaints about the AFP changed during 2006–07. The Complaints Act was repealed on 30 December 2006 and replaced with Part V of the *Australian Federal Police Act 1979* and amendments to the Ombudsman Act (Cth).

PUBLIC INTEREST DISCLOSURE

Under the *Public Interest Disclosure Act 1994* (ACT) (PID Act), a person may make a public interest disclosure (PID) to any ACT Government agency including the Ombudsman. The Ombudsman can become involved directly or at the request of the agency concerned. PID matters are among the most complex cases the Ombudsman deals with in terms of their investigation and resolution.

The PID complaints investigated by the Ombudsman tend to be closely connected with workplace disputes and grievance processes; disputes sometimes broach differing views on the wider operations of the agency involved.

The PIDs outstanding from previous years were finalised this year. In each case the person making the disclosure was advised that further investigation of their PID was not warranted in the circumstances. This was because there had been previous investigations into the matters raised and because the actions said to be unlawful reprisals did not seem to be taken because of the person's disclosure.

The Ombudsman received four PID complaints from three people this year.

One complaint raised issues of financial probity and also contained a claim that the whistleblower had been effectively dismissed from his employment following his attempts to raise the issues within his department. As this office is not well placed to investigate financial issues, those aspects of the matter were referred to the Auditor-General. Similarly, the employment-related matters were referred to the Commissioner for Public Administration as a more appropriate body to investigate those issues. The Ombudsman has retained a watching brief over the matters and at the end of the reporting period investigation of both aspects of the matter was continuing.

In another complaint, we declined to investigate because the matter had been previously investigated and the actions characterised as reprisals did not appear to have been taken because of the original disclosure to the relevant department. The person involved has resubmitted his PID in a different form, claiming that the issues should be investigated. This matter was still under consideration at the end of the reporting period.

The fourth PID was also still under consideration.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

Complaints about the actions of agencies

Subsection 53(3) of the *Freedom of Information Act 1989* (ACT) (FOI Act) requires the Ombudsman to report on complaints about the handling of freedom of information (FOI) requests by ACT Government agencies.

This year we received nine complaints involving six agencies in which the handling of requests made under the FOI Act was raised as an issue. These complaints mostly related to concern about delay by agencies in providing documents and/or reasons for exemption. Often the focus of our intervention is to have the agency expedite a response.

FOI requests to the Ombudsman

In 2006–07 the ACT Ombudsman received one FOI request under s 15 of the FOI Act. The Act mandates a 30-day period for the processing of FOI requests, subject to certain exceptions and extensions. The FOI request was subject to an extension to liaise with third parties and was processed within the extended timeframe of 60 days. Partial access to the documents was granted.

It is not feasible to calculate reliably the cost of dealing with the FOI request, as it is so dispersed throughout the office. During the period, no fees or charges were imposed on the applicant.

There were no applications for review of our decisions made to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 2004

The ACT Ombudsman continued to work collaboratively with the ACT Human Rights Office and ACT Corrective Services on issues concerning the new ACT Prison. The ACT Ombudsman also provided some input and assistance to the Human Rights Office in its human rights audit of the two remand centres in the ACT. In this way, Ombudsman staff have continued to be involved in human rights issues affecting the ACT community.

COMMISSIONER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

There were no requests, investigations or recommendations relating to the ACT Ombudsman.

ACT MULTICULTURAL STRATEGY 2006–2009

The Ombudsman now provides information sheets in 35 community languages that set out the role of the Ombudsman and how to make a complaint about a government agency. The languages are Albanian, Amharic, Arabic, Bosnian, Chinese (simplified and traditional), Croatian, Dari, Dinka, Dutch, Farsi/Persian, Filipino, German, Greek, Hindi, Indonesian, Italian, Khmer, Korean, Kurdish, Lao, Macedonian, Malaysian, Pashtu/Pashto, Polish, Russian, Serbian, Sinhalese, Somali, Spanish, Swahili, Tamil, Tigrinya, Turkish and Vietnamese. The information sheets are available via a link on our website at www.ombudsman.act.gov.au.

ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER REPORTING

In 2005–06 we reported on the establishment of an Indigenous Working Group (IWG) in the Ombudsman's office. The aim of the IWG is to develop a program of consultation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, organisations and communities, with a dual focus on both improving our services and on identifying key issues about how government more generally delivers services to Indigenous people and communities.

The IWG provided the Ombudsman with an interim report in mid-2006, outlining a range of initiatives, including:

- *two-stage consultation process*: identifying and testing consultation options, which can then be used by specific teams in the office, with the results being integrated into the office's planning and reporting processes
- *own motion investigations*: identifying and undertaking a program of own motion investigations into areas of specific concern to Indigenous people and communities
- *Indigenous employment strategy*: building an effective secondment program for the office, and over time developing an Indigenous recruitment strategy
- *partnerships with existing contact networks in Indigenous communities*: building on relationships established during our consultation work to offer communities training, resources, and direct contact points with investigation officers who are personally known to them
- *targeted outreach*: including through radio and print media, as well as the consultation program outlined above
- *internal management*: including better data capture about approaches from Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, and training in Indigenous cultural awareness for all staff.

The Ombudsman endorsed the findings and recommendations of the IWG interim report. Implementation of the recommendations began in 2007, although progress has been slower than we would have hoped. A key step towards implementation has been our commitment to developing a Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP). We are currently working with Reconciliation Australia on our draft RAP, which embodies many of the initiatives outlined above. We hope to launch the RAP later in 2007.

ECOLOGICALLY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

The Ombudsman continued to encourage staff to manage all resources, including energy, and manage waste, prudently and in an ecologically responsible manner. The Environmental Management Policy was reviewed to provide guidance on improving energy efficiencies and reducing waste

across the office. The office has initiated environmental awareness strategies in the efficient use of lighting, computer equipment and water, and the recycling of toner/printer cartridges, paper products, classified waste, bottles, cans and plastic. These strategies are communicated to staff through the office intranet and induction program.

ACT WOMEN'S PLAN

The Ombudsman's office contributes to the achievement of the ACT Women's Plan by:

- promoting the rights of all individuals, including women and girls, to complain about the administrative actions and decisions of government agencies
- providing a flexible, sensitive and responsive complaint service that can deal effectively with complaints from women and girls.

appendixes

Appendix 1—Statistics

Appendix 2—Omissions and reasons for non-compliance

Table A1—Approaches and complaints received and finalised about ACT Government agencies, 2006–07, *Ombudsman Act 1989* (ACT) (including freedom of information).

Table A2—ACT Policing complaints received, complaint issues finalised, 2006–07.

Table A3—ACT Policing method of handling complaint issues finalised, 2006–07.

Explanations of terms used in Appendix 1 tables

Advised to pursue elsewhere—complainant advised to pursue complaint directly with agency, court or tribunal, industry or subject specialist, member of parliament or minister

AFP evaluation—AFP conducted preliminary enquiries to evaluate the merits of a complaint and reviewed by the Ombudsman

AFP investigation—AFP investigated complaints against AFP members and reviewed by the Ombudsman

AFP workplace resolution—complaints managed by the AFP in the workplace and reviewed by the Ombudsman

Approach/complaint not pursued—withdrawn by complainant, or written complaint requested but not received

Approaches/complaints finalised—approaches/complaints finalised in 2006–07, including some complaints carried over from previous years

Approaches/complaints received—approaches/complaints received in 2006–07

Category 1 approaches—resolved without investigation, outcomes include decisions not to investigate and referrals to appropriate agency or authority

Category 2 approaches—approaches that cannot be resolved at category 1 and require further internal enquiries/research or more information from the complainant, resolved without contacting the agency

Category 3 approaches—investigation conducted and agency contacted

Category 4 approaches—further investigation conducted, as the complaint/approach was not able to be resolved in category 3

Category 5 approaches—further investigation conducted, as the complaint/approach was not able to be resolved in category 4; involves formal reporting processes

Conciliated—complaint conciliated through the AFP's workplace-resolution process and reviewed by the Ombudsman

Incapable of determination—sufficient evidence was not available to support a clear conclusion

Issues—approaches/complaints can contain a number of issues, each requiring a separate decision as to whether to investigate; each issue may result in a separate outcome

Ombudsman decision not to investigate—the Ombudsman may decide not to investigate where a person has not tried to resolve their problem directly with the relevant agency or there is a more appropriate avenue of review available

Ombudsman investigation (for complaints being dealt with under the Complaints Act)—investigation, following consideration by the AFP, asking more questions and reviewing the agency's files, policies and procedures

Ombudsman investigation not warranted—investigation of the approach/complaint judged to be unnecessary for one of the following reasons: over 12 months old, frivolous or not in good faith, insufficient interest, related to commercial activity, or 'not warranted' having regard to all the circumstances; this includes approaches/complaints that were considered by the AFP and reviewed by the Ombudsman where investigation or further investigation would serve no useful purpose having regard to all the circumstances

Out of jurisdiction—complaint not within the Ombudsman's legal powers

Remedies—complaints can contain a number of issues, each requiring separate investigation and possibly resulting in a number of different remedies

Special investigation—investigations conducted under s 46 of the Complaints Act may be conducted solely by the Ombudsman or jointly with the AFP

Substantiated—complaint issue was found to be true

Unsubstantiated—there were no grounds for the complaint issue.

TABLE A1 APPROACHES AND COMPLAINTS RECEIVED AND FINALISED ABOUT ACT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, 2006–07, OMBUDSMANS ACT 1989 (ACT) (INCLUDING FREEDOM OF INFORMATION)

AGENCY NAME	RECEIVED		FINALISED					REMEDIES						Total
			Not Investigated		Investigated			Apology	Decision changed or reconsidered	Explanation	Financial remedy	Law, policy or practice changed	Other non-financial remedy	
			Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5							
ACT Corrective Services	94	31	18	35	1	1	1	86	1	23	3	1	28	
ACT Emergency Services Agency	4	4	1				4							
ACT Government Solicitor's Office	1		1				1							
ACT Health	24	15	4	1	2		22		3				3	
ACT Land Development Agency						1	1							
ACT Library and Information Service	3	1	2				3							
ACT Magistrates Court and Tribunals	8	5	2	1			8		1				3	
ACT Office of Fair Trading	8	1	2	2			3		1			1	2	
ACT Planning and Land Authority	31	13	8	13	2	1	37		1	3	1	4	12	
ACT Planning and Land Council	2	2	2				2							
ACT Registrar-General's Office	2	2					2							
ACT Workcover	3	2		1			3						1	
ACTEW Corporation	8	2	4				6		1				2	
ACTION	3	2		2			4		1				2	
Canberra Institute of Technology	5	3	2				5							
Chief Minister's Department	3		3				3							
Cultural Facilities Corporation					1		2							
Department of Disability, Housing and Community Services	14	9	2	1			12							
Department of Education and Training	31	10	18	1	1		30							
Department of Justice and Community Safety	9	4	3	1			8		1				1	
Department of the Territory and Municipal Services	32	13	12	6			31		2				3	
Department of Treasury	14	3	8	3		1	15		1		1		3	
Department of Urban Services	10	5	2	4			11		2				3	
Director of Public Prosecutions	3		3				3							
Environment ACT	8	4	3	1	1		9		1		1		3	
Housing ACT	99	42	19	27	3		91		7	1	2	9	21	
Human Rights Commission	3	1	2				3							
Legal Aid Commission of the ACT	4	1	1	1			3							
Office for Children, Youth and Family Support	40	14	7	13	1		35		2	6			8	
Office of the Public Advocate of the ACT	7	2	2	3			7			1			1	
Public Trustee for the ACT	7	4	1	2			7		2	2			2	
Roads ACT	40	9	16	11	1		37		2	5	2		9	
University of Canberra	8	2	4	1	1		7			1	1		2	
Total	528	206	148	129	14	4	501	16	8	9	60	7	109	

TABLE A2 ACT POLICING COMPLAINTS RECEIVED, COMPLAINT ISSUES FINALISED, 2006–07⁽¹⁾

		Complaints Act	Ombudsman Act (Cth)	Total
Complaints	Received	314	99	413
	Finalised	342	88	430

Outcome of issues finalised	Conciliated	135		135
	Incapable of determination	3		3
	Substantiated	6		6
	Unsubstantiated	20		20
	Ombudsman investigation not warranted	204	11	215
	Advised to pursue elsewhere	10	73	83
	Approach/complaint not pursued	32	2	34
	Out of jurisdiction	2	4	6
	Total issues finalised	412	90	502

(1) The categories of 'conciliated', 'incapable of determination', 'substantiated' and 'unsubstantiated' are not applicable to complaints dealt with under the Ombudsman Act (Cth).

TABLE A3 ACT POLICING METHOD OF HANDLING COMPLAINT ISSUES FINALISED, 2006–07⁽¹⁾

		Complaints Act	Ombudsman Act (Cth)	Total
Method of handling complaint	Ombudsman decision not to investigate	70	90	160
	Ombudsman investigation	19	0	19
	AFP investigation	29		29
	AFP workplace resolution	189		189
	AFP evaluation ⁽²⁾	105		105
	Special investigation	0		0
	Total issues finalised	412	90	502

(1) The only categories applicable under the Ombudsman Act (Cth) are 'Ombudsman decision not to investigate' and 'Ombudsman investigation'.

(2) The addition of the method 'AFP evaluation' to this table means that figures for 'Ombudsman decision not to investigate' and 'Ombudsman investigation' under the Complaints Act are not comparable to the statistics for previous years.

Note: The office reviews and audits its statistical data. Minor adjustments to statistics used in this report may occur as a result of such reviews.

The ACT Ombudsman is neither a public authority nor an administrative unit within the meaning of the *Annual Reports (Government Agencies) Act 2004* (ACT). Consequently, the ACT Ombudsman is unable to report against some aspects of the Chief Minister’s Annual Report Directions 2006–2007. Reporting on these issues and whole-of-government issues is provided for the office as a whole through the Commonwealth Ombudsman Annual Report 2006–2007.

TABLE A4 REPORT OMISSIONS AND REASONS FOR NON-COMPLIANCE

Section	Part	Reason		
Section A: Performance and financial management reporting	A.5 Management discussion and analysis	ACT Ombudsman functions are intrinsically linked with broader Commonwealth Ombudsman organisational operations		
	A.6 Fraud prevention			
	A.7 Risk management and internal audit			
	A.8 Internal accountability			
	A.9 Financial report			
	A.10 Statement of performance			
	A.12 HR performance			
	A.13 Staffing profile			
	A.14 Workplace health and safety			
	A.15 Learning and development			
Section B: Consultation and scrutiny reporting	A.16 Workplace relations	ACT Ombudsman functions are intrinsically linked with broader Commonwealth Ombudsman organisational operations		
	A.17 Strategic asset management			
	A.18 Capital works			
	A.19 Government contracting			
	B.2 Internal and external scrutiny			
	Section C: Other reporting		C.1 Strategic Bushfire Management Plan	No requirement to report

abbreviations and acronyms

ACT	Australian Capital Territory
ActewAGL	Australian Capital Territory electricity, water and gas utility
ACTPLA	Australian Capital Territory Planning and Land Authority
AFP	Australian Federal Police
BRC	Belconnen Remand Centre
Complaints Act	<i>Complaints (Australian Federal Police) Act 1981</i> (Cth)
Cth	Commonwealth
DHCS	Department of Disability, Housing and Community Services
DUS	Department of Urban Services
FOI	freedom of information
FOI Act	<i>Freedom of Information Act 1989</i> (ACT)
GST	Goods and Services Tax
Intoxicated People Act	<i>Intoxicated People (Care and Protection) Act 1994</i> (ACT)
IWG	Indigenous Working Group
LET	Law Enforcement Team
MLA	Member, Legislative Assembly
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
OCYFS	Office for Children, Youth and Family Support
Ombudsman Act	<i>Ombudsman Act 1989</i> (ACT)
Ombudsman Act (Cth)	<i>Ombudsman Act 1976</i> (Cth)
PID	Public Interest Disclosure
PID Act	<i>Public Interest Disclosure Act 1994</i> (ACT)
PNG	Papua New Guinea
RAP	Reconciliation Action Plan
Young People Act	<i>Children and Young People Act 1999</i> (ACT)

Transmittal certificate	iii
Section A: Performance and financial management reporting	
A.1 The organisation	1
A.2 Overview	2
A.3 Highlights	3
A.4 Outlook	3
A.5 Management discussion and analysis	N/A
A.6 Fraud prevention	N/A
A.7 Risk management and internal audit	N/A
A.8 Internal accountability	N/A
A.9 Financial report	N/A
A.10 Statement of performance	N/A
A.11 Analysis of agency performance	5
A.12 HR performance	N/A
A.13 Staffing profile	N/A
A.14 Workplace health and safety	N/A
A.15 Learning and development	N/A
A.16 Workplace relations	N/A
A.17 Strategic asset management	N/A
A.18 Capital works	N/A
A.19 Government contracting	N/A
A.20 Community grants/assistance/sponsorship	21
A.21 Territory records	21
Section B: Consultation and scrutiny reporting	
B.1 Community engagement	22
B.2 Internal and external scrutiny	N/A
B.3 Legislative Assembly Committee inquiries and reports	22
B.4 Legislative report	22
Section C: Other reporting	
C.1 Strategic Bushfire Management Plan	N/A
C.2 Public interest disclosure	23
C.3 Freedom of information	23
C.4 Human Rights Act 2004	24
C.5 Commissioner for the Environment	24
C.6 ACT Multicultural Strategy 2006–2009	24
C.7 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander reporting	24
C.8 Ecologically sustainable development	25
C.9 ACT Women's Plan	25

TABLES

Table 1	Summary of achievements against performance indicators, 2006–07	5
Table 2	ACT Policing issues raised in complaints to the Ombudsman managed and resolved by conciliation, 2002–03 to 2006–07	16
Table A1	Approaches and complaints received and finalised by ACT Government departments and agencies, 2006–07, <i>Ombudsman Act 1989</i> (ACT) (including freedom of information)	29
Table A2	ACT Policing complaints received, complaint issues finalised, 2006–07	30
Table A3	ACT Policing method of handling complaint issues finalised, 2006–07	30
Table A4	Report omissions and reasons for non-compliance	31

FIGURES

Figure 1	Approaches and complaints received about ACT Government agencies, 2002–03 to 2006–07	7
Figure 2	Time taken to finalise complaints about ACT Government agencies, 2006–07	9
Figure 3	Complaints received about ACT Policing, 2002–03 to 2006–07	15
Figure 4	Time taken to finalise complaints about ACT Policing, 2006–07	17

A

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, 24–25

access to Ombudsman services, 2, 24

ACT City Watchhouse, 18, 19

ACT Corrective Services, 6, 29
 Belconnen Remand Centre, 12
 case studies, 12, 13
 complaints about, 2, 7, 12
 relationship with, 12
 Symonston Temporary Remand Centre, 13

ACT Free Legal Advice Forum, 6

ACT Government
 memorandum of understanding, 3, 4, 5
 payments to ACT Ombudsman's office, 5

ACT Government agencies
 contact officers, 3, 6
 complaints about, 2, 7–13
 case studies, 10, 11, 12, 13
 finalised, 2, 8
 formal reports, 3
 overview, 9–13
 received, 7
 requests for review, 7
 statistics, 29
 time taken to finalise, 8–9
 formal reports on, 3, 9, 10, 11, 12
 relationships with ACT Ombudsman, 3, 6

ACT Health, 20

ACT Human Rights Office, 24

ACT Ombudsman, 1
 Deputy, 4
 role, 1, 2
 new, 1, 14, 17, 18

ACT Ombudsman's office
 contact addresses, iv
 organisation, 1, 4
 payments from ACT Government, 5
 strategic plan, 2
 Teams, 4
 website, iv

ACT Planning and Land Authority (ACTPLA), 12–13

ACT Policing, 1, 4, 14–21
 case studies, 19, 21
 City Beat Squad, 18
 complaints about, 1, 2, 3, 14–21
 finalised, 2, 14–15, 30
 received, 14, 30
 review requests, 7
 statistics, 30
 conciliations, 16
 inspections, 21
 investigations, 6, 14–15, 16, 17
 method of handling complaint issues, 30
 Priority Call Out system, 18
 referrals to AFP's workplace resolution process, 15, 16
 relationship with ACT Ombudsman, 1
 see also Australian Federal Police

ACT Prison Project, 3, 6, 12, 24

ACT Self-Government (Consequential Provisions) Act 1988 (Cth), 4

ACT Department of Treasury, 9, 10

ACT Women's Plan, 25

ACTEW Corporation, 7

ActewAGL, 12

Administrative Appeals Tribunal, 24

AFP
 see Australian Federal Police

Ainslie Village sobering-up shelter, 20

alcohol issues, 20

annual reporting compliance, iii, 31

Asia-Pacific region, 6

Australian Commission for Law Enforcement Integrity, 4

Australian Federal Police (AFP)
 changes in legislative regime, 3, 14, 22
 complaint-handling system, 3–4, 6
 complaints, 1, 3, 15–16
 critical incident investigations, 18
 Professional Standards Team, 6, 19
 relationship with, 6
 workplace resolution process, 15, 16
 see also ACT Policing

Australian Federal Police Act 1979 (Cth), 1, 14, 22

Australian National University, 22

B

Belconnen Remand Centre, 12

business plans, 2

C

capsicum spray, 19

case studies

- advising parent, 21
- delay in deciding an objection decision, 10
- error in lease renewal, 13
- improving buy-up procedures, 13
- problems with rental rebate calculation, 11
- revocation of visitors' visiting privileges, 12
- use of force, 19

Centacare, 20

child sex offenders register, 1, 21

children, 1, 2, 11, 20, 21

Children and Young People Act 1999 (ACT), 11, 21

Children and Young People Bill 2007, 2

City Watchhouse, 18, 19

Commissioner for the Environment, 24

Commonwealth Ombudsman, 1, 4, 8, 18, 31
Deputy, 4
term of office, 4

community engagement, 4, 22

community grants/assistance/sponsorship, 21

complainant behaviour, difficult, 6

Complaint Handlers Forum, 6

complaint handling
procedures, 3
requests for review, 7

Complaints (Australian Federal Police) Act 1981 (Cth), 1, 4, 14, 15–16, 17

complaints

- about ACT Government agencies, 7–13
- about ACT Policing, 14–21

method of investigating, 2

method of making, 2

online, 2

outside Ombudsman's authority, 5

statistics, 29–30

overview, 2

time taken to finalise, 8–9, 16–17, 17–18

Contact Canberra 2007, 22

contact details, iv

Crimes (Child Sex Offenders) Act 2005 (ACT), 1, 21

critical incidents involving police, 18

D

definition of terms used, 28

Department of Disability, Housing and
Community Services (DHCS), 11

Department of Education and Training, 6, 7

Department of Housing and Community
Services, 2

Department of Justice and Community Safety,
6

Department of Treasury, 9, 10

disability, people with, 19

drugs, 21

E

ecologically sustainable development, 25

environmental issues, 24, 25

Environmental Management Policy, 25

F

finance, 31

payment from ACT Government, 5

First Home Owner Grant, 10

Freedom of Information Act 1989 (ACT), 1, 22,
23

freedom of information

requests to the Ombudsman, 23–24

future operations, 2

G

government agencies
see ACT Government agencies

H

Housing ACT, 2, 7, 9–10
Human Rights Act 2004 (ACT), 24

I

Indigenous Working Group (IWG), 24–5
interim report, 24–5
inspections, 2, 14, 17, 21
Inspections Team, 4
internal accountability, 4
international visitors, 6
Intoxicated People (Care and Protection) Act 1994, 20
investigations
ACT Policing, 6, 14–15, 16, 17
complex, 5
formal reports, 3
own motion, 9, 10, 20, 21
reasons for no investigation, 8
remedies, 8

L

Law Enforcement (AFP Professional Standards and Related Measures) Act 2006, 17
Law Enforcement Team, 4, 6
ride-along ‘beat policing’ tours, 18
lease variation, 13
legislation, new, 17
Legislative Assembly Committee inquiries and reports, 22
legislative report, 22
letter of transmittal, iii

M

minors in detention, 20, 21
multicultural strategy, 24

N

National Multicultural Festival, 22
Neighbourhood Dispute Management Network, 22

O

Office for Children, Youth and Family Support (OCYFS), 11
Ombudsman Act 1976 (Cth), 1, 16, 17
proposed amendments, 2, 14, 22
Ombudsman Act 1989 (ACT), 1, 3, 22
online complaint form, 2
outlook for 2007–08, 3
own motion investigations, 9, 10, 20, 21, 24

P

Papua New Guinea (PNG), 6
payment received from ACT Government, 5
performance report, 1–21
analysis, 5–7
highlights, 3
performance indicators, 5
Planning and Development Bill 2006, 2
police pursuits, 18
property and exhibits, police handling, 20–21
Public Contact Team, 3, 9
Public Interest Disclosure Act 1994 (ACT), 1, 22, 23

R

records management, 21
Registrar-General, 13
remand centres, 12, 13, 24
rental rebates, 11
reports on ACT Government agencies, formal, 3, 9, 10, 11, 12

S

seminars for contact officers, 3

service charter, 6–7

sex offenders register, child, 1, 21

staff

- performance agreements, 2
- training experience, 18
- training others, 6, 24

statistics, 28–30

Statute Law Amendment Act 2007, 2

strategic plan, 2

submissions, 2, 6

survey of agencies, 3

Symonston Temporary Remand Centre, 13

T

Territory Records Act 2002 (ACT), 21

training and liaison, 6, 24

transmittal letter, iii

U

use of force, 19

V

Vanuatu, 6

visiting privileges, Belconnen Remand Centre,
12

W

watchhouse operations, review, 19
see also City Watchhouse

whistleblowing, 1, 6, 22, 24

workplace resolution process (AFP), 15, 16

Y

young people
see children; minors in detention